SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

V. TIMOTHY STUART RING,	Appellee, Appellant.	<pre>Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-97-0428-AP) Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR-95-01754(A)))</pre>
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. LEMUEL PRION,	Appellee, Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0378-AP Pima County Superior Court Nos. CR-58177 and CR-60263
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. ANDRE LAMONT MINNITT,	Appellee, Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0243-AP) Pima County Superior Court No. CR-40086))
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. BERNARD SMITH,	Appellee, Appellant.) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-01-0272-AP)) Yuma County Superior) Court) Nos. S1400CR198311801) and S1400CR198311817))

STATE OF ARIZONA, v. ANTOIN JONES,	Appellee, Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0536-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR96-04691)
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. DANNY N. MONTANO,	Appellee,	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0439-AP Pima County Superior Court No. CR-58016
	Appellant.)))
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. WAYNE BENOIT PRINCE,	Appellee,	<pre>Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-00-0328-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR98-04885 </pre>
	Appellant.)))
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. MICHAEL GENE BLAKLEY,	Appellee,) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-00-0360-AP) Mohave County Superior) Court) No. CR-98-838
	Appellant.)))

V. HENRY WILLIAM HALL,	Appellee, Appellant.	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-00-0447-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1997-011695
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. SHAWN RYAN GRELL,	Appellee,	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0275-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR 1999-095294
	Appellant.)))
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Appellee,	<pre>Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-00-0508-AP Mohave County Superior Court No. CR-98-1243</pre>
JAMES EDWARD DAVOLT,))
	Appellant.)
STATE OF ARIZONA,	Appellee,) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-00-0544-AP) Maricopa County Superior) Court No. CR1997-03949
LEROY D. CROPPER,	Appellant.)))

STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee,) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-00-0595-AP)
v. SHAD DANIEL ARMSTRONG, Appellant.	<pre>) Pima County Superior) Court) No. CR-61846))))</pre>
STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v. EUGENE ROBERT TUCKER,	<pre>) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-01-0091-AP)) Maricopa County Superior) Court) No. CR1999-015293)</pre>
Appellant.)) _)
STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v. KAJORNSAK PRASERTPHONG,	<pre>) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-01-0100-AP)) Pima County Superior) Court) No. CR-64663)</pre>
Appellant.)) _)
STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v.	<pre>) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-01-0103-AP)) Pima County Superior) Court) No. CR-64663</pre>
CHRISTOPHER BO HUERSTEL, Appellant.)))

STATE OF ARIZONA,) Appellee,) v.) SHERMAN LEE RUTLEDGE,) Appellant.)	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0129-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1997-05555
STATE OF ARIZONA,) Appellee,) V.) CHRISTOPHER GEORGE THEODORE) LAMAR,) Appellant.)	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0270-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1996-011714
STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, V. MICHAEL JOE MURDAUGH, Appellant.)	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-01-0421-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1995-006472
STATE OF ARIZONA,) Appellee,) v.) BRIAN JEFFREY DANN,) Appellant.)	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-02-0042-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR1999-003536

V. ROBERT JOE MOODY,	Appellee,) Appellee,)) Appellant.)	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-02-0044-AP Pima County Superior Court No. CR-43804
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. KEITH ROYAL PHILLIPS,	Appellee,)))))	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0296-AP Pima County Superior Court No. CR-61452
	Appellant.)	
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. MARCUS LASALLE FINCH,	Appellee,)))))	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0551-AP Pima County Superior Court No. CR-61452
	Appellant.)	
STATE OF ARIZONA, v. JOHN EDWARD SANSING,	Appellee,))))	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-99-0438-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR-98-003520
	Appellant.)	

STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v. JAMES CORNELL HARROD, Appellant.	<pre>) Supreme Court) No. CR-98-0289-AP)) Maricopa County Superior) Court) No. CR95-09046))</pre>
STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v. DARREL PETER PANDELI aka DARREL PETER FLORIAN, Appellant.	<pre>Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-98-0376-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR-93-08116) </pre>
STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee, v. SCOTT ALAN LEHR,	Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-97-0317-AP Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR 92-05731
Appellant. STATE OF ARIZONA,) Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-98-0488-AP
v. ARTURO ANDA CANEZ, Appellant.	<pre>) Pinal County) Superior Court) No. CR-96-021235))))</pre>

STATE OF ARIZONA,) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-97-0349-AP
Appellee	•
1 1) Maricopa County
V.) Superior Court
) No. CR-94-11397
AARON SCOTT HOSKINS,)
)
Appellant	.)
)
) 7 mi - and Guranama Gausah
STATE OF ARIZONA,) Arizona Supreme Court) No. CR-98-0278-AP
Appellee	,
115561166) Pima County
V .) Superior Court
•) No. CR-55947
SCOTT DOUGLAS NORDSTROM,	·)
·)
Appellant	.) ORDER
)

The pre-briefing conference was held on July 15, 2002, in open court with Andrew Hurwitz appearing as lead counsel for Appellants, John Stookey, Julie Hall and Rudolph Gerber, assisting for Appellants, and Kent Cattani, Assistant Attorney General, appearing for the State.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The State shall file a single brief addressing the following:
 - A. The State shall identify those cases in which it is not asserting harmless error.
 - B. Whether Ring v. Arizona, 122 S. Ct. 2428 (2002), is inapplicable to any of the aggravating factors listed in A.R.S. \S 13-703(G).
 - C. Whether the finding of an aggravating factor can be implicit in the jury's verdict of conviction. For example, whether a finding of A.R.S. § 13-703(G)(8) or (5) is implicit in a jury verdict of guilt of multiple counts of

homicide or felony murder, and if so, whether the appellant is therefore not entitled to resentencing under \underline{Ring} . The brief shall identify which aggravating factor(s) in A.R.S. § 13-703(G) falls within this category.

- D. Whether an appellant is entitled to resentencing under <u>Ring</u> if one aggravating factor was not subject to <u>Ring</u> or was explicitly or implicitly found by the jury, but appellant was deprived of a jury trial on others relied on by the trial court.
- E. Whether an appellant is entitled to resentencing under Ring if the appellant conceded or did not challenge the aggravating factors found by the trial court. Whether an appellant is entitled to resentencing under Ring if the appellant conceded, or did not challenge, some but not all of the aggravating factors found by the trial court.
- F. Whether an appellant is entitled to resentencing under <u>Ring</u> if the State did not argue harmless error in those cases in which the U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari and remanded. (State v. Ring; State v. Sansing; State v. Harrod; State v. Pandeli)
- G. Whether <u>Ring</u> explicitly or implicitly requires a jury to make <u>Enmund/Tison</u> findings.
- H. Whether any new or amended capital sentencing statute would violate the state or federal Ex Post Facto Clause if applied to these cases.
- I. Whether using a new jury (a jury other than the trial jury) to determine aggravating factors in these cases would violate the Double Jeopardy Clause.
- J. Whether a sentence can be reduced to natural life if the crime was committed before A.R.S. § 13-703(A) was amended to allow a sentence of natural life.
- K. Any other issue common to all or a significant number of the consolidated cases.

- 2. The State's brief shall be filed no later than August 14, 2002, and shall be no more than 75 pages in length.
- 3. Lead counsel for Appellants shall file a responsive brief no later than September 25, 2002, which shall be no more than 75 pages in length.
- 4. The State may file a reply brief no later than October 15, 2002, which shall be no more than 20 pages in length.
- 5. Jennifer Bedier and David Darby shall file a single brief addressing whether Ring applies to cases such as State v. Hoskins and State v. Nordstrom where the petition for writ of certiorari was denied (prior to the filing of Ring) but the direct appeal mandate has not been issued. The State shall file a simultaneous brief addressing the same issue. The briefs shall be filed no later than August 14, 2002, and shall be no more than 10 pages in length. Responsive briefs, limited to 5 pages, may be filed no later than August 21, 2002.
- 6. Counsel for any of the Appellants may move for permission to file a supplemental brief on any of the issues listed or on any other issue peculiar to their client's case. Any such motion shall explain why supplemental briefing is required and shall be filed no later than September 27, 2002.

DATED this ____ day of July, 2002.

STANLEY G. FELDMAN
Duty Justice

TO:

Janet A Napolitano, Arizona Attorney General

Attn: Kent E Cattani

Andrew Hurwitz

John Stookey

Susan A Kettlewell, Pima County Public Defender

Attn: Brian X Metcalf, Lori J Lefferts, Rebecca A McLean, John F Palumbo and Frank P Leto

Carla G Ryan

John W Rood III

James J Haas, Maricopa County Public Defender's Office Attn: Garrett W Simpson, Carol A Carrigan, Stephen R Collins, Lawrence S Matthew, Edward F McGee, James L Edgar, Charles R Krull, James R Rummage, Christopher Johns, James H Kemper, Terry J Adams, and Spencer D Heffel

J Conrad Baran

Thomas A Gorman

Rudolph J Gerber, Shughart Thomson Kilroy Goodwin Raup PC Jennifer Bedier, Arizona Capital Representation Project Julie S Hall, Arizona Capital Representation Project Harriette P Levitt

S Jonathan Young, Law Offices of Williamson & Young PC Robert W Doyle

Richard L Strohm, Law Offices of Richard L Strohm Susan M Sherwin, Maricopa County Legal Advocate

Attn: Brent E Graham

Michael S Reeves

J Douglas McVay

Stephen M Johnson

David Alan Darby

Thomas J Phalen

Tara K Allen

Kerrie Droban

Jonathan Bass, Arizona Death Penalty Judicial Assistance Program (Southern Counties) [Information Copy Only]

Andrew P Zinner, Arizona Death Penalty Judicial Assistance Program (Northern Counties) [Information Copy Only]